ON CONSERVATION LAWS FOR THE SUPERSYMMETRIC SIGMA MODEL

VOLKER BRANDING

Abstract. We derive conservation laws for Dirac-harmonic maps and their extensions to manifolds that have isometries, where we mostly focus on the spherical case. In addition, we discuss several geometric and analytic applications of the latter.

arXiv:1703.05681v1 [math.DG] 16 Mar 2017

1. Introduction and Results

Symmetries have always been a driving principle in both mathematics and physics. This statement manifests itself as *Noether's Theorem*, namely that every continuous symmetry of a system leads to a conservation law.

When constructing a physical model to describe elementary particles one considers an energy functional together with a certain amount of symmetries that leave it invariant. These symmetries can both be discrete and continuous. The energy functionals considered in quantum field theory are formulated in terms of objects from differential geometry. Consequently, their invariances and the corresponding conversation laws also allow for a geometric interpretation.

When considering an energy functional that involves a map between two manifolds, the invariance under diffeomorphisms on the domain gives rise to the energy-momentum tensor, which is conserved for a critical point. Moreover, symmetries on the target lead to a different conserved quantity, which is called Noether current in the physics literature.

Throughout this article we will study an action functional that is motivated from the supersymmetric nonlinear sigma model from quantum field theory [2], see also [1]. From a geometric point of view this energy functional consists of the energy for harmonic maps coupled to spinor fields in a nonlinear fashion. For a recent survey on harmonic maps we refer to [18], for an introduction to spin geometry see [21]. The geometric study of the supersymmetric sigma model was initiated in [12, 11], where the notion of *Dirac-harmonic maps* was introduced. This notion was extended later on to include an additional curvature term [10, 7], a two-form potential [6] and a connection with metric torsion on the target [8]. Currently, many analytic and geometric aspects of Dirac-harmonic maps and their extensions are well-understood, like the regularity of weak solutions. However, apart from an existence result for uncoupled Dirac-harmonic maps [4], a general existence result is still not available.

For a general treatment of harmonic maps and conservation laws we refer to the book [19]. For a supergeometric study of harmonic maps, see [20]. In this article we focus on the derivation of conservation laws for critical points of the supersymmetric nonlinear sigma model to targets with symmetries.

It is well known that both nonlinear Dirac equations on surfaces and harmonic maps from surfaces to spheres have a natural connection to CMC surfaces. The critical points of the supersymmetric nonlinear sigma model interpolate between these equations and we discuss a geometric interpretation of the combined system.

This article is organized as follows: In Section 2 we recall the mathematical setup that we use to study Dirac-harmonic maps and Dirac-harmonic maps with curvature term. In the third section we consider the case of a spherical target, derive a conservation law and give several geometric and analytic applications. Section 4 is then devoted to a target with isometries, where we again

Date: March 17, 2017.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 58E20, 53C27, 70S10.

Key words and phrases. supersymmetric nonlinear sigma model; Dirac-harmonic maps; conservation laws.

derive a conservation law for Dirac-harmonic maps and Dirac-harmonic maps with curvature term.

2. The nonlinear supersymmetric sigma model as a geometric variational problem

Let us describe the mathematical setup used in this article. Let (M,h) be a closed Riemannian spin manifold with spinor bundle ΣM. We fix both a spin structure and a metric connection $\nabla^{\Sigma M}$ on ΣM. Moreover, we fix a hermitian scalar product on ΣM which we denote by $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_{\Sigma M}$. On the spinor bundle there is the Clifford multiplication of spinors with tangent vectors, which is skew-symmetric

$$\langle\psi, X\cdot\eta\rangle_{\Sigma M} = -\langle X\cdot\psi,\eta\rangle_{\Sigma M}$$

for all $X\in TM, \eta,\psi\in\Gamma(\Sigma M)$. Moreover, we have the Clifford relations, that is

$$X\cdot Y\cdot\psi + Y\cdot X\cdot\psi = -2h(X,Y)\psi$$

for all $X,Y\in TM$. The Dirac operator $\not{\partial}$ acting on sections of ΣM is defined as

$$\not{\partial} := e_\alpha\cdot\nabla^{\Sigma M}_{e_\alpha},$$

where $e_\alpha, \alpha=1,\dots,\dim M$ is a basis of TM. Throughout this article we will make use of the summation convention, that is we sum over repeated indices. The Dirac operator $\not{\partial}$ is elliptic and self-adjoint with respect to the L^2-norm.
We will mostly consider a two-dimensional domain M, in this case the spinor bundle splits as $\Sigma M = \Sigma^+M\oplus\Sigma^-M$, where we call Σ^+M the bundle of *positive spinors* and Σ^-M the bundle of *negative spinors*. We will make use of the complex volume element $\omega_{\mathbb{C}}$, which is defined by

$$\omega_{\mathbb{C}} := ie_1\cdot e_2.$$

In order to project to the subbundles $\Sigma^\pm M$ we make use of the projector

$$P_\pm := \frac{1}{2}(1\pm\omega_{\mathbb{C}}). \tag{2.1}$$

In addition, let (N,g) be another Riemannian manifold. Consider a map $\phi\colon M\to N$, which we use to pullback the tangent bundle TN to M. We form the twisted bundle $\Sigma M\otimes\phi^*TN$, sections in this bundle will be called *vector spinors*. We will denote the connection on $\Sigma M\otimes\phi^*TN$ by $\tilde\nabla$. This leads to the twisted Dirac operator acting on vector spinors, which is given by

$$\not{D} := e_\alpha\cdot\tilde\nabla_{e_\alpha}.$$

This twisted Dirac operator is also elliptic and self-adjoint with respect to the L^2-norm.
If we choose local coordinates we will use Greek indices for coordinates on the domain M and Latin indices for coordinates on the target N. Whenever clear from the context we will use $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ for a generic scalar product without referring to the actual bundle.

2.1. Dirac-harmonic maps and extensions.

In this section we recall the action functional that we will mostly investigate in this article

$$E_\kappa(\phi,\psi) = \int_M (|d\phi|^2 + \langle\psi,\not{D}\psi\rangle + \kappa\langle R^N(\psi,\psi)\psi,\psi\rangle)dM. \tag{2.2}$$

Here, κ is a real-valued parameter. The first term is the usual harmonic energy for a map between two Riemannian manifolds, in the second term the scalar product is taken on the bundle $\Sigma M\otimes\phi^*TN$. In the third term the spinors are contracted as

$$\langle R^N(\psi,\psi)\psi,\psi\rangle_{\Sigma M\otimes\phi^*TN} = R_{ijkl}\langle\psi^i,\psi^k\rangle_{\Sigma M}\langle\psi^j,\psi^l\rangle_{\Sigma M},$$

which ensures that the action is real-valued. Here R_{ijkl} are the components of the Riemann curvature tensor on N. The critical points of (2.2) are given by (see [7, Proposition 2.1])

$$\tau(\phi) = \frac{1}{2}R^N(e_\alpha\cdot\psi,\psi)d\phi(e_\alpha) - \frac{\kappa}{2}\langle(\nabla R^N)^\sharp(\psi,\psi)\psi,\psi\rangle, \tag{2.3}$$

$$\not{D}\psi = -2\kappa R^N(\psi,\psi)\psi. \tag{2.4}$$

Note that the energy functional (2.2) and its critical points (2.3), (2.4) interpolate between the energy functionals for Dirac-harmonic maps ($\kappa = 0$) and Dirac-harmonic maps with curvature term ($\kappa = -\frac{1}{6}$).
We call solutions of the system (2.3), (2.4) *κ-Dirac-harmonic maps*.
We want to point out that the energy functional we are considering here is slightly different compared to the physics literature since we do not use Grassmann-valued spinors. However, this leads to the advantage that we can employ well-established methods from geometric analysis to study (2.2) and its critical points.
In the following we will sometimes need the extrinsic version of (2.3), (2.4). To this end we apply the Nash embedding theorem to isometrically embed N into some $\mathbb{R}^q$. We will denote the second fundamental form of the embedding by $\mathrm{I\!I}$.
The extrinsic version of (2.3), (2.4) is given by the system

$$-\Delta\phi = \mathrm{I\!I}(d\phi, d\phi) + P(\mathrm{I\!I}(e_\alpha \cdot \psi, d\phi(e_\alpha)), \psi) - \kappa G(\psi), \tag{2.5}$$

$$\not{\partial}\psi = \mathrm{I\!I}(d\phi(e_\alpha), e_\alpha \cdot \psi) + \kappa F(\psi, \psi)\psi \tag{2.6}$$

with the terms

$$G(\psi) = -\frac{1}{2}\langle(\nabla \mathrm{I\!I})(\partial_{y^i}, \partial_{y^k}), \mathrm{I\!I}(\partial_{y^j}, \partial_{y^l})\rangle - \langle(\nabla \mathrm{I\!I})(\partial_{y^i}, \partial_{y^l}), \mathrm{I\!I}(\partial_{y^j}, \partial_{y^k})\rangle\langle\psi^i, \psi^k\rangle\langle\psi^j, \psi^l\rangle,$$

$$F(\psi, \psi)\psi = -2(P(\mathrm{I\!I}(\partial_{y^k}, \partial_{y^j}), \partial_{y^l}) - P(\mathrm{I\!I}(\partial_{y^l}, \partial_{y^j}), \partial_{y^k}))\langle\psi^j, \psi^l\rangle\psi^k,$$

where now $\phi\colon M \to \mathbb{R}^q$ and $\psi \in \Gamma(\Sigma M \otimes \mathbb{R}^q)$. In addition, P denotes the shape operator that is defined via

$$\langle P(\xi, X), Y\rangle_{TN} = \langle \mathrm{I\!I}(X, Y), \xi\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^q}$$

for all $X, Y \in TN$ and $\xi \in T^\perp N$.
The extrinsic version allows us to consider a weak formulation of (2.3), (2.4). To this end, we define the following space

$$\chi(M, N) := \{(\phi, \psi) \in W^{1,2}(M, N) \times W^{1,\frac{4}{3}}(M, \Sigma M \otimes \phi^* TN) \text{ with (2.3) and (2.4) a.e.}\}.$$

Definition 2.1. A pair $(\phi, \psi) \in \chi(M, N)$ is called *weak κ-Dirac-harmonic map* from M to N if and only if the pair (ϕ, ψ) solves (2.3), (2.4) in a distributional sense.

2.2. **Spinorial symmetries.** Before we discuss how isometries on the target lead to conservation laws we briefly discuss some symmetries arising in the context of the spinors. To this end we recall the following

Lemma 2.2. *The complex volume element $\omega_{\mathbb{C}}$ satisfies the following algebraic relations*

(1) $\omega_{\mathbb{C}}^2 = 1$.
(2) $X \cdot \omega_{\mathbb{C}} = -\omega_{\mathbb{C}} \cdot X$ *for a two-dimensional manifold and* $X \in TM$.
(3) $\langle \omega_{\mathbb{C}} \cdot \psi, \eta\rangle_{\Sigma M} = -\langle \omega_{\mathbb{C}} \cdot \psi, \eta\rangle_{\Sigma M}$ *for all* $\psi, \eta \in \Sigma M$.

Proposition 2.3. *The energy functional* (2.2) *and its critical points* (2.3), (2.4), *are invariant under the symmetries*

(1) $\psi \to -\psi$
(2) $\psi \to \omega_{\mathbb{C}} \cdot \psi$

Proof. Note that the complex volume element $\omega_{\mathbb{C}}$ is parallel and thus by Lemma 2.2 we find

$$\not{D}(\omega_{\mathbb{C}} \cdot \psi) = -\omega_{\mathbb{C}} \cdot \not{D}\psi.$$

Consequently, we obtain

$$\langle \omega_{\mathbb{C}} \cdot \psi, \not{D}(\omega_{\mathbb{C}} \cdot \psi)\rangle_{\Sigma M \otimes \phi^* TN} = -\langle \omega_{\mathbb{C}} \cdot \psi, \omega_{\mathbb{C}} \cdot \not{D}\psi\rangle_{\Sigma M \otimes \phi^* TN} = \langle \psi, \not{D}\psi\rangle_{\Sigma M \otimes \phi^* TN}$$

and

$$\langle \omega_{\mathbb{C}} \cdot \psi^k, e_\alpha \cdot \omega_{\mathbb{C}} \cdot \psi^k\rangle_{\Sigma M} = -\langle \omega_{\mathbb{C}} \cdot \psi^k, \omega_{\mathbb{C}} \cdot e_\alpha \cdot \psi^k\rangle_{\Sigma M} = \langle \psi^k, e_\alpha \cdot \psi^k\rangle_{\Sigma M},$$

$$\langle \omega_{\mathbb{C}} \cdot \psi^i, \omega_{\mathbb{C}} \cdot \psi^k\rangle_{\Sigma M}\langle \omega_{\mathbb{C}} \cdot \psi^j, \omega_{\mathbb{C}} \cdot \psi^l\rangle_{\Sigma M} = \langle \psi^i, \psi^k\rangle_{\Sigma M}\langle \psi^j, \psi^l\rangle_{\Sigma M}$$

proving the claim. □

Note that we also have discrete symmetries in the term

$$R_{ijkl}\langle\psi^i,\psi^k\rangle_{\Sigma M}\langle\psi^j,\psi^l\rangle_{\Sigma M}.$$

Remark 2.4. In the physics literature the complex volume element $\omega_{\mathbb{C}}$ is usually denoted by γ^5.

3. The case of a spherical target

In this section we consider the system (2.3), (2.4) in the case of a spherical target. On the one hand this particular case is attractive since the huge symmetry of the sphere easily leads to a conservation law. Moreover, in the physics literature nonlinear sigma-models are mostly considered having a spherical target.
For $N = S^n \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ with the round metric the Euler-Lagrange equations read

$$-\Delta\phi^i = |d\phi|^2\phi^i + \mathrm{Re}\langle\psi^i, e_\alpha\cdot\psi^j\rangle_{\Sigma M}\phi^j_\alpha, \qquad i=1,\dots,n+1, \tag{3.1}$$

$$\not{\partial}\psi^i = -\phi^j_\alpha e_\alpha\cdot\psi^j\otimes\phi^i - 2\kappa(|\psi|^2_{\Sigma M}\psi^i - \langle\psi^j,\psi^i\rangle_{\Sigma M}\psi^j), \qquad i=1,\dots,n+1, \tag{3.2}$$

where we use the notation $\phi^j_\alpha := \frac{\partial\phi^j}{\partial x^\alpha}$.

Remark 3.1. Suppose we have a smooth solution of the system (3.1), (3.2). Using that $|d\phi|^2 = -\langle\Delta\phi,\phi\rangle$ for maps taking values in $S^n\subset\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ we find

$$\mathrm{Re}\langle\psi^i, e_\alpha\cdot\psi^j\rangle_{\Sigma M}\phi^j_\alpha\phi^i = 0.$$

If we think of the summation over i,j as taking the trace of an endomorphism, then we may expect that the endomorphism itself contains some interesting information.

In the following we show how the existence of isometries on the sphere leads to a conserved quantity. Becoming more technical, let us recall the following facts:

Definition 3.2. A vector field X is called *Killing vector field* on (N,g) if

$$\mathcal{L}_X g = 0,$$

where $\mathcal{L}$ represents the Lie-derivative of the metric. In terms of local coordinates we have

$$0 = (\mathcal{L}_X g)_{ij} = g_{jk}\nabla_{\partial_{y^i}}X^k + g_{ik}\nabla_{\partial_{y^j}}X^k.$$

The group $SO(n+1)$ acts isometrically on S^n. The set of Killing vector fields on S^n can be identified with the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{so}(n+1)$ of $SO(n+1)$. In addition, $\mathfrak{so}(n+1)$ can be represented as $(n+1)\times(n+1)$ skew-symmetric real-valued matrices. For simplicity we will assume that these matrices have only entries of $+1,0,-1$.
We will determine a conserved current in the case that we have a weak solution of (3.1), (3.2), where we follow the ideas from [17] for harmonic maps. This method has the advantage of leading to the result in a rather straightforward way.

Proposition 3.3. *Let $(\phi,\psi)\colon M\to S^n$ be a weak κ-Dirac-harmonic map. Then the following conservation law holds*

$$\int_M \big(\mathrm{Re}\langle e_\alpha\cdot\psi^i,\psi^m\rangle_{\Sigma M} + (\phi^i_\alpha\phi^m - \phi^i\phi^m_\alpha)\big)(\nabla_{e_\alpha}\eta)dM = 0 \tag{3.3}$$

for all $\eta\in C^\infty(M)$.

Proof. Let $X(\phi)$ be a Killing vector field on S^n and $\eta\in C^\infty(M)$. Testing (3.1) with $X^k(\phi)\eta$ we obtain

$$-\int_M\Delta\phi^k X^k(\phi)\eta dM = \int_M|d\phi|^2\underbrace{\phi^k X^k(\phi)}_{=0}\eta dM + \int_M\mathrm{Re}\langle\psi^k,e_\alpha\cdot\psi^j\rangle_{\Sigma M}\phi^j_\alpha X^k(\phi)\eta dM.$$

Note that the first term on the right hand side vanishes since $X\perp\phi$. In addition, we calculate

$$-\int_M\Delta\phi^k X^k(\phi)\eta dM = \int_M\underbrace{\nabla\phi^k\nabla X^k(\phi)}_{=0}dM + \int_M\nabla\phi^k X^k(\phi)\nabla\eta dM,$$

where the second terms vanishes since $X(\phi)$ is a Killing vector field. Since Killing vector fields on the sphere can be identified with antisymmetric matrices, we find

$$\begin{aligned}\int_M \mathrm{Re}\langle\psi^k, e_\alpha\cdot\psi^j\rangle_{\Sigma M}\phi^j_\alpha X^k_{im}(\phi)\eta dM &= \int_M (\mathrm{Re}\langle\psi^i, e_\alpha\cdot\psi^j\rangle_{\Sigma M}\phi^j_\alpha\phi^m \\ &\quad - \mathrm{Re}\langle\psi^m, e_\alpha\cdot\psi^j\rangle_{\Sigma M}\phi^j_\alpha\phi^i)\eta dM \\ &= \int_M (\mathrm{Re}\langle\psi^i, \not\partial\psi^m\rangle_{\Sigma M} - \mathrm{Re}\langle\psi^m, \not\partial\psi^i\rangle_{\Sigma M})\eta dM \\ &= \int_M (\mathrm{Re}(\underbrace{\langle\psi^i, \not\partial\psi^m\rangle_{\Sigma M} - \langle\not\partial\psi^m, \psi^i\rangle_{\Sigma M}}_{\mathrm{Im}\langle\psi^i, \not\partial\psi^m\rangle_{\Sigma M}})\eta dM \\ &\quad + \int_M \mathrm{Re}\langle\psi^i, e_\alpha\cdot\psi^m\rangle_{\Sigma M}(\nabla_{e_\alpha}\eta)dM \\ &= \int_M \mathrm{Re}\langle\psi^i, e_\alpha\cdot\psi^m\rangle_{\Sigma M}(\nabla_{e_\alpha}\eta)dM,\end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. □

We can check by a direct calculation that given a smooth κ-Dirac-harmonic map we obtain a vector field that is divergence free.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $(\phi,\psi)\colon M\to S^n$ be a smooth κ-Dirac-harmonic map. Then the vector field*

$$J^{im}_\alpha := \mathrm{Re}\langle e_\alpha\cdot\psi^i, \psi^m\rangle_{\Sigma M} + (\phi^i_\alpha\phi^m - \phi^i\phi^m_\alpha) \tag{3.4}$$

is divergence free.

Proof. We calculate

$$\nabla_{e_\alpha}J^{im}_\alpha = \mathrm{Re}\langle\not\partial\psi^i, \psi^m\rangle_{\Sigma M} - \mathrm{Re}\langle\psi^i, \not\partial\psi^m\rangle_{\Sigma M} + \Delta\phi^i\phi^m - \Delta\phi^m\phi^i.$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Re}\langle\not\partial\psi^i, \psi^m\rangle_{\Sigma M} - \mathrm{Re}\langle\psi^i, \not\partial\psi^m\rangle_{\Sigma M} =& 2\kappa\,\mathrm{Re}\big(-|\psi|^2_{\Sigma M}\langle\psi^i,\psi^m\rangle_{\Sigma M} + \langle\psi^j,\psi^i\rangle_{\Sigma M}\langle\psi^j,\psi^m\rangle_{\Sigma M} \\ &+ |\psi|^2_{\Sigma M}\langle\psi^i,\psi^m\rangle_{\Sigma M} - \langle\psi^j,\psi^m\rangle_{\Sigma M}\langle\psi^j,\psi^i\rangle_{\Sigma M}\big) \\ &- \mathrm{Re}\langle e_\alpha\cdot\psi^j,\psi^m\rangle_{\Sigma M}\phi^j_\alpha\phi^i + \mathrm{Re}\langle\psi^i, e_\alpha\cdot\psi^j\rangle_{\Sigma M}\phi^j_\alpha\phi^m \\ =& 4\kappa\,\mathrm{Re}\big(\mathrm{Im}(\langle\psi^m,\psi^j\rangle_{\Sigma M}\langle\psi^i,\psi^j\rangle_{\Sigma M}\big) \\ &- \mathrm{Re}\langle e_\alpha\cdot\psi^j,\psi^m\rangle_{\Sigma M}\phi^j_\alpha\phi^i + \mathrm{Re}\langle\psi^i, e_\alpha\cdot\psi^j\rangle_{\Sigma M}\phi^j_\alpha\phi^m \\ =& -\mathrm{Re}\langle e_\alpha\cdot\psi^j,\psi^m\rangle_{\Sigma M}\phi^j_\alpha\phi^i + \mathrm{Re}\langle\psi^i, e_\alpha\cdot\psi^j\rangle_{\Sigma M}\phi^j_\alpha\phi^m.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand we have

$$\Delta\phi^i\phi^m - \Delta\phi^m\phi^i = -\mathrm{Re}\langle\psi^i, e_\alpha\cdot\psi^j\rangle_{\Sigma M}\phi^j_\alpha\phi^m + \mathrm{Re}\langle\psi^m, e_\alpha\cdot\psi^j\rangle_{\Sigma M}\phi^j_\alpha\phi^i$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_{e_\alpha}J^{im}_\alpha =& \phi^j_\alpha\phi^i\,\mathrm{Re}(\langle\psi^m, e_\alpha\cdot\psi^j\rangle_{\Sigma M} - \langle e_\alpha\cdot\psi^j\psi^m\rangle_{\Sigma M}) \\ =& 2\phi^j_\alpha\phi^i\,\mathrm{Re}(\mathrm{Im}(\langle\psi^m, e_\alpha\cdot\psi^j\rangle_{\Sigma M})) \\ =& 0,\end{aligned}$$

yielding the claim. □

Following the terminology used in the physics literature we call the vector field J *Noether current*. It is obvious that J is unique up to multiplication with an overall constant and the addition of a parallel vector field.

Remark 3.5. The term $\langle\psi^i, e_\alpha\cdot\psi^m\rangle_{\Sigma M}$ takes the form of a Killing vector field associated to a Killing spinor. More precisely, a Killing spinor ψ is a section of ΣM that satisfies

$$\nabla_X\psi = \alpha X\cdot\psi,$$

where α is a non-vanishing complex number. To a given Killing spinor we can associate a vector field V via the Riemannian metric

$$h(V,X) := i\langle \psi, X\cdot\psi\rangle_{\Sigma M}.$$

Not many Riemannian manifolds allow the existence of Killing spinors [5], however these always exist on spheres. Consequently, it is not surprising that a term having the form of a Killing vector field appears in the Noether current for κ-Dirac-harmonic maps to spheres.

From now on we assume that M is two-dimensional and by Ω we denote a connected domain in M. We denote the local coordinates on Ω by x, y and its tangent vectors by ∂_x, ∂_y.

Remark 3.6. Suppose that $\phi\colon \Omega \to S^n$ is a smooth harmonic map. In this case the Noether current reads

$$J^{im}_\alpha := \phi^i_\alpha \phi^m - \phi^i \phi^m_\alpha.$$

By a direct calculation it follows that the Noether current satisfies the following algebraic relation

$$\partial_x J_y - \partial_y J_x - 2[J_x, J_y] = 0,$$

which can be thought of as a vanishing curvature condition if we think of J as the connection one-form on the bundle $\phi^*TN \otimes \mathfrak{so}(n+1)$. This fact relates the theory of harmonic maps to spheres to the world of integrable systems [26].

In the following we discuss if a similar structure also holds for κ-Dirac-harmonic maps to spheres.

Lemma 3.7. *The vector field J^{im}_α defined in* (3.4) *satisfies the following algebraic relation*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial_x J^{im}_y - \partial_y J^{im}_x - 2(J^{ij}_x J^{jm}_y - J^{ij}_y J^{jm}_x) \qquad (3.5)\\
&\quad = -\operatorname{Re}\langle(\partial_x\cdot\nabla_{\partial_y} - \partial_y\cdot\nabla_{\partial_x})\psi^i, \psi^m\rangle_{\Sigma M} + \operatorname{Re}\langle\psi^i, (\partial_x\cdot\nabla_{\partial_y} - \partial_y\cdot\nabla_{\partial_x})\psi^m\rangle_{\Sigma M}\\
&\qquad - 2\big(\operatorname{Re}\langle\psi^i, \partial_x\cdot\psi^j\rangle_{\Sigma M}\operatorname{Re}\langle\psi^j, \partial_y\cdot\psi^m\rangle_{\Sigma M} - \operatorname{Re}\langle\psi^i, \partial_y\cdot\psi^j\rangle_{\Sigma M}\operatorname{Re}\langle\psi^j, \partial_x\cdot\psi^m\rangle_{\Sigma M}\\
&\qquad - \operatorname{Re}\langle\psi^i, \partial_x\cdot\psi^j\rangle_{\Sigma M}\phi^j_y\phi^m + \operatorname{Re}\langle\psi^j, \partial_y\cdot\psi^m\rangle_{\Sigma M}\phi^i\phi^j_x\\
&\qquad + \operatorname{Re}\langle\psi^i, \partial_y\cdot\psi^j\rangle_{\Sigma M}\phi^j_x\phi^m - \operatorname{Re}\langle\psi^j, \partial_x\cdot\psi^m\rangle_{\Sigma M}\phi^i\phi^j_y\big).
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. By a direct computation we find

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_x J^{im}_y - \partial_y J^{im}_x =& -\operatorname{Re}\langle(\partial_x\cdot\nabla_{\partial_y} - \partial_y\cdot\nabla_{\partial_x})\psi^i, \psi^m\rangle + \operatorname{Re}\langle\psi^i, (\partial_x\cdot\nabla_{\partial_y} - \partial_y\cdot\nabla_{\partial_x})\psi^m\rangle\\
&- 2(\phi^i_x\phi^m_y - \phi^i_y\phi^m_x).
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
J^{ij}_x J^{jm}_y - J^{ij}_y J^{jm}_x =& -(\phi^i_x\phi^m_y - \phi^i_y\phi^m_x)\\
&+ \operatorname{Re}\langle\psi^i, \partial_x\cdot\psi^j\rangle\operatorname{Re}\langle\psi^j, \partial_y\cdot\psi^m\rangle - \operatorname{Re}\langle\psi^i, \partial_y\cdot\psi^j\rangle\operatorname{Re}\langle\psi^j, \partial_x\cdot\psi^m\rangle\\
&- \operatorname{Re}\langle\psi^i, \partial_x\cdot\psi^j\rangle\phi^j_y\phi^m + \operatorname{Re}\langle\psi^j, \partial_y\cdot\psi^m\rangle\phi^i\phi^j_x\\
&+ \operatorname{Re}\langle\psi^i, \partial_y\cdot\psi^j\rangle\phi^j_x\phi^m - \operatorname{Re}\langle\psi^j, \partial_x\cdot\psi^m\rangle\phi^i\phi^j_y.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that all terms proportional to $\psi^j\phi^j$ drop out since the vector spinors ψ satisfy $\psi \perp \phi$. The result then follows by combining both equations. □

If we also assume that $(\phi,\psi)\colon \Omega \to S^n$ is a smooth κ-Dirac-harmonic map, we find the following

Proposition 3.8. *Let $(\phi,\psi)\colon \Omega \to S^n$ be a smooth κ-Dirac-harmonic map. Then the Noether current J^{im}_α satisfies the following algebra*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial_x J^{im}_y - \partial_y J^{im}_x - 2(J^{ij}_x J^{jm}_y - J^{ij}_y J^{jm}_x) = -\partial_x\langle\psi^i, \partial_y\cdot\psi^m\rangle_{\Sigma M} + \partial_y\langle\psi^i, \partial_x\cdot\psi^m\rangle_{\Sigma M} \qquad (3.6)\\
&\quad - 2(\operatorname{Re}\langle\psi^i, \partial_x\cdot\psi^j\rangle_{\Sigma M}\operatorname{Re}\langle\psi^j, \partial_y\cdot\psi^m\rangle_{\Sigma M} - \operatorname{Re}\langle\psi^i, \partial_y\cdot\psi^j\rangle_{\Sigma M}\operatorname{Re}\langle\psi^j, \partial_x\cdot\psi^m\rangle_{\Sigma M})\\
&\quad + 4\kappa\operatorname{Re}\big(2|\psi|^2\langle\partial_x\cdot\partial_y\cdot\psi^i, \psi^m\rangle_{\Sigma M} - \langle\psi^j, \psi^i\rangle\langle\partial_x\cdot\partial_y\cdot\psi^j, \psi^m\rangle_{\Sigma M}\\
&\quad + \langle\psi^i, \partial_x\cdot\partial_y\cdot\psi^j\rangle\langle\psi^j, \psi^m\rangle_{\Sigma M}\big).
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Multiplying (3.2) by $\partial_x \cdot \partial_y$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}(\partial_x \cdot \nabla_{\partial_y} - \partial_y \cdot \nabla_{\partial_x})\psi^i =& \partial_y \cdot \psi^j \phi^j_x \otimes \phi^i - \partial_x \cdot \psi^j \phi^j_y \otimes \phi^i \\ &+ 2\kappa(|\psi|^2 \partial_x \cdot \partial_y \cdot \psi^i - \langle \psi^j, \psi^i\rangle \partial_x \cdot \partial_y \cdot \psi^j),\end{aligned}$$

which yields

$$\begin{aligned}&- \operatorname{Re}\langle(\partial_x \cdot \nabla_{\partial_y} - \partial_y \cdot \nabla_{\partial_x})\psi^i, \psi^m\rangle + \operatorname{Re}\langle\psi^i, (\partial_x \cdot \nabla_{\partial_y} - \partial_y \cdot \nabla_{\partial_x})\psi^m\rangle \\ &= - \operatorname{Re}\langle\partial_y \cdot \psi^j, \psi^m\rangle \phi^j_x \phi^i + \operatorname{Re}\langle\psi^i, \partial_y \cdot \psi^j\rangle \phi^j_x \phi^m - \operatorname{Re}\langle\psi^i, \partial_x \cdot \psi^j\rangle \phi^j_y \phi^m + \operatorname{Re}\langle\partial_x \cdot \psi^j, \psi^m\rangle \phi^j_y \phi^i \\ &\quad + 2\kappa \operatorname{Re}\big(2|\psi|^2 \langle\psi^i, \partial_x \cdot \partial_y \cdot \psi^m\rangle + \langle\psi^j, \psi^i\rangle\langle\partial_x \cdot \partial_y \cdot \psi^j, \psi^m\rangle - \langle\psi^i, \partial_x \cdot \partial_y \cdot \psi^j\rangle\langle\psi^m, \psi^j\rangle\big).\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, the right-hand side of (3.5) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}&\partial_x J^{im}_y - \partial_y J^{im}_x - 2(J^{ij}_x J^{jm}_y - J^{ij}_y J^{jm}_x) = \\ &\quad - 2(\operatorname{Re}\langle\psi^i, \partial_x \cdot \psi^j\rangle \operatorname{Re}\langle\psi^j, \partial_y \cdot \psi^m\rangle - \operatorname{Re}\langle\psi^i, \partial_y \cdot \psi^j\rangle \operatorname{Re}\langle\psi^j, \partial_x \cdot \psi^m\rangle) \\ &\quad + \operatorname{Re}\langle\partial_y \cdot \psi^j, \psi^m\rangle \phi^j_x \phi^i - \operatorname{Re}\langle\psi^i, \partial_y \cdot \psi^j\rangle \phi^j_x \phi^m + \operatorname{Re}\langle\psi^i, \partial_x \cdot \psi^j\rangle \phi^j_y \phi^m - \operatorname{Re}\langle\partial_x \cdot \psi^j, \psi^m\rangle \phi^j_y \phi^i \\ &\quad + 2\kappa \operatorname{Re}\big(2|\psi|^2 \langle\partial_x \cdot \partial_y \cdot \psi^i, \psi^m\rangle - \langle\psi^j, \psi^i\rangle\langle\partial_x \cdot \partial_y \cdot \psi^j, \psi^m\rangle + \langle\psi^i, \partial_x \cdot \partial_y \cdot \psi^j\rangle\langle\psi^j, \psi^m\rangle\big).\end{aligned}$$

Rewriting the terms in the last two lines gives the result. □

Remark 3.9. Let us make some observations regarding the structure of (3.6).

(1) In the physics literature the Noether algebra (3.6) take the simpler form

$$\partial_x J^{im}_y - \partial_y J^{im}_x + 2(J^{ij}_x J^{jm}_y - J^{ij}_y J^{jm}_x) = -\partial_x \langle\psi^i, \partial_y \cdot \psi^j\rangle_{\Sigma M} + \partial_y \langle\psi^i, \partial_x \cdot \psi^j\rangle_{\Sigma M},$$

see for example [1, p.249]. To obtain their results physicists make use of so-called *Fierz-identities*, which can be applied to simplify spinorial bilinear terms. However, physicists usually formulate these identities for Grassmann-valued spinors.

(2) If we think of J as a connection one-form, then the right hand side of (3.6) gives its curvature.

Remark 3.10. Suppose that $\phi\colon \Omega \to S^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ is a harmonic map. Making use of the conserved Noether current one can show that there exists a map $B\colon \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^3$, unique up to a constant vector, satisfying

$$\Delta B = 2B_x \times B_y.$$

This equation is well known since it describes a CMC surface when we also require that B is conformal. More precisely, conformal parametrizations of CMC 1 surfaces are characterized by the system

$$|X_x|^2 = |X_y|^2, \qquad \langle X_x, X_y\rangle = 0, \qquad \Delta X = 2X_x \times X_y. \tag{3.7}$$

However, we do not know if the map B is conformal. If we consider the linear combination $X_\pm = B \pm u$, for a conformal map u, then it turns out that $X_\pm$ is a solution to the system (3.7). Assuming that u, X_+, X_- are immersions, we can associate to a harmonic map a triple of immersions of surfaces, at a constant distance 1 from each other with $B(\Omega)$ in the middle (having Gauss curvature 1), and $X_+(\Omega)$ and $X_-(\Omega)$ having mean curvature $1/2$ at either side. For more details see [19, p. 53] and references therein.

According to the last remark the existence of the Noether current for harmonic maps having values into the sphere leads to a beautiful geometric construction. In the following we want to discuss if the same holds true for κ-Dirac-harmonic maps taking values into spheres.

Proposition 3.11. *Let $(\phi, \psi)\colon \Omega \to S^n$ be a smooth κ-Dirac-harmonic map. Then there exist functions B^{mi} that satisfy*

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta B^{mi} =& 2(B^{mj}_x B^{ij}_y - B^{mj}_y B^{ji}_x) - \partial_x \langle\psi^i, \partial_y \cdot \psi^m\rangle_{\Sigma M} + \partial_y \langle\psi^i, \partial_x \cdot \psi^m\rangle_{\Sigma M} \\ &- 2(\operatorname{Re}\langle\psi^i, \partial_x \cdot \psi^j\rangle_{\Sigma M} \operatorname{Re}\langle\psi^j, \partial_y \cdot \psi^m\rangle_{\Sigma M} - \operatorname{Re}\langle\psi^i, \partial_y \cdot \psi^j\rangle_{\Sigma M} \operatorname{Re}\langle\psi^j, \partial_x \cdot \psi^m\rangle_{\Sigma M}) \\ &+ 4\kappa \operatorname{Re}\big(2|\psi|^2 \langle\partial_x \cdot \partial_y \cdot \psi^i, \psi^m\rangle_{\Sigma M} - \langle\psi^j, \psi^i\rangle\langle\partial_x \cdot \partial_y \cdot \psi^j, \psi^m\rangle_{\Sigma M} \\ &+ \langle\psi^i, \partial_x \cdot \partial_y \cdot \psi^j\rangle\langle\psi^j, \psi^m\rangle_{\Sigma M}\big)\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

and

$$|B_x|^2 = |\langle \psi^i, \partial_y \cdot \psi^m \rangle_{\Sigma M}|^2 - 2|\phi_y|^2, \qquad |B_y|^2 = |\langle \psi^i, \partial_x \cdot \psi^m \rangle_{\Sigma M}|^2 - 2|\phi_x|^2,$$
$$\langle B_x, B_y \rangle = \langle \psi^i, \partial_x \cdot \psi^m \rangle_{\Sigma M} \langle \psi^i, \partial_y \cdot \psi^m \rangle_{\Sigma M} - 2\phi_y^i \phi_x^i.$$

Proof. Since the Noether current (3.4) is divergence-free, we have

$$\partial_x \big(\langle \psi^i, \partial_x \cdot \psi^m \rangle - (\phi_x^i \phi^m - \phi^i \phi_x^m) \big) + \partial_y \big(\langle \psi^i, \partial_y \cdot \psi^m \rangle - (\phi_y^i \phi^m - \phi^i \phi_y^m) \big) = 0.$$

Hence, there must exist functions B^{mi} that satisfy

$$B_x^{mi} = \langle \psi^i, \partial_y \cdot \psi^m \rangle - \phi_y^i \phi^m + \phi^i \phi_y^m,$$
$$B_y^{mi} = -\langle \psi^i, \partial_x \cdot \psi^m \rangle + \phi_x^i \phi^m - \phi^i \phi_x^m.$$

By a direct calculation we find

$$\Delta B^{mi} = \partial_x J_y^{im} - \partial_y J_x^{im}$$

and the result follows by Proposition 3.8. □

Remark 3.12. It is obvious that we do not get a nice geometric configuration as for harmonic maps to spheres from (3.8) due to the presence of the spinors.

Although the regularity theory for Dirac-harmonic maps with curvature term is fully developed by now [7] we want to point out how the Noether current can be used to establish the continuity of the map ϕ, whenever we are given a weak solutions of (2.3), (2.4) with a spherical target. For harmonic maps to spheres this was first noted in [16, Proposition 2.1], and for Dirac-harmonic maps to spheres in [11, Remark 4.4] without referring to the Noether current.

Proposition 3.13. *Let $(\phi, \psi) \colon \Omega \to S^n$ be a weak κ-Dirac-harmonic map. There exists $M \in W^{1,2}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{(n+1)\times(n+1)})$ such that*

$$-\Delta \phi = \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} \frac{\partial M}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y} \frac{\partial M}{\partial x} \tag{3.9}$$

holds.

Proof. We calculate (in a distributional sense)

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \phi^m &= -|d\phi|^2 \phi^m - \mathrm{Re}\langle \psi^m, e_\alpha \cdot \psi^i \rangle \phi_\alpha^i \\ &= -(\phi_\alpha^i \phi^m - \phi^i \phi_\alpha^m) \phi_\alpha^i - \mathrm{Re}\langle \psi^m, e_\alpha \cdot \psi^i \rangle \phi_\alpha^i \\ &= -J_\alpha^{im} \phi_\alpha^i. \end{aligned}$$

Since the Noether current J_α^{im} is conserved there exist functions M^{mi} on Ω satisfying

$$J_x^{im} = \frac{\partial M^{im}}{\partial y}, \qquad J_y^{im} = -\frac{\partial M^{im}}{\partial x},$$

which completes the proof. □

Corollary 3.14. *This yields continuity of ϕ via Wente's Lemma* [25] *for all values of κ.*

Remark 3.15. If one also considers a two-form contribution in the action functional as in [6] then the Noether current is no longer conserved. This is not surprising from a physical point of view: The two-form potential in the energy functional is used to model a (generalized) external magnetic field. However, a magnetic field always destroys the rotational symmetry of a system since it introduces a preferred direction.

Remark 3.16. The norm of the Noether current satisfies

$$|J_\alpha^{im}|^2 = |\,\mathrm{Re}\langle \psi^i, e_\alpha \cdot \psi^m \rangle_{\Sigma M}|^2 + |\phi_\alpha|^2. \tag{3.10}$$

Proof. We calculate

$$|J_\alpha^{im}|^2 = |\,\mathrm{Re}\langle \psi^i, e_\alpha \cdot \psi^m \rangle|^2 + 2|\phi_\alpha|^2 - 2(\mathrm{Re}\langle \psi^i, e_\alpha \cdot \psi^m \rangle)(\phi_\alpha^i \phi^m - \phi^i \phi_\alpha^m).$$

Note that the mixed terms vanish since $\psi \perp \phi$. □

In the following we want to explore the limit $\phi = const$, which is well-known in the physics literature as the Gross-Neveu model.

3.1. **The Gross Neveu model and CMC surfaces.** The Gross-Neveu model [15] is a model for interacting massive fermions in two dimensions. For its mathematical study let (M, h) be a closed Riemannian spin surface. For a geometric treatment of the Gross-Neveu model on complete Riemannian manifolds see [9].
Its energy functional is given by

$$E(\psi) = \int_M (\langle \psi, \not{\partial}\psi\rangle - \lambda|\psi|^2 - \frac{\kappa}{2}|\psi|^4\rangle)dM, \tag{3.11}$$

where λ and κ are real-valued parameters and $\psi \in \Gamma(\Sigma M \otimes \mathbb{R}^q)$.
The critical points of (3.11) are given by

$$\not{\partial}\psi^i = \lambda\psi^i + \kappa|\psi|^2\psi^i. \tag{3.12}$$

The analytic aspects of such kind of nonlinear Dirac equations have been studied in [23, 13].

Lemma 3.17. *Let $\psi \in \Gamma(\Sigma M \otimes \mathbb{R}^q)$ be a solution of* (3.12)*. Then the Noether current*

$$J^{im}_\alpha := \langle \psi^i, e_\alpha \cdot \psi^m\rangle_{\Sigma M}$$

is conserved, that is

$$\nabla_{e_\alpha} J^{im}_\alpha = 0. \tag{3.13}$$

Proof. This follows by a direct calculation. □

In order to derive the corresponding Noether algebra we need an algebraic relation for the spinorial bilinear terms. Since we are only interested in a local statement, we choose a local trivialization of the spinor bundle ΣM such that we can work with complex-valued functions.

Lemma 3.18. *Let $\psi^i, \psi^j, \psi^m \in \Gamma(\Sigma M)$. Then the following algebraic identity holds*

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \psi^i, \partial_x \cdot \psi^j\rangle_{\Sigma M}\langle \psi^j, \partial_y \cdot \psi^m\rangle_{\Sigma M} - \langle \psi^i, \partial_y \cdot \psi^j\rangle_{\Sigma M}\langle \psi^j, \partial_x \cdot \psi^m\rangle_{\Sigma M} = 2\langle \psi^i, \partial_x \cdot \partial_y \cdot \psi^m\rangle_{\Sigma M}|\psi|^2_{\Sigma M} \\ + |P_-\psi^j|^2_{\Sigma M}\langle P_-\psi^i, P_-\psi^m\rangle_{\Sigma M} - |P_+\psi^j|^2\langle P_+\psi^i, P_+\psi^m\rangle_{\Sigma M},\end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

where $P_\pm$ is defined in (2.1)*.*

Proof. We prove the identity via a local calculation. Locally, the spinors $\psi^i, i = 1, \dots, q$ can be thought of as $\mathbb{C}^2$-valued functions and we choose

$$\psi^i = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \psi^j = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \psi^m = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2, c_1, c_2$ are complex-valued functions. In addition, Clifford multiplication with ∂_x and ∂_y can be expressed as multiplication with the matrices

$$\partial_x \cdot = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \partial_y \cdot = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By a direct calculation using the standard hermitian scalar product on $\mathbb{C}^2$ we find

$$\langle \psi^i, \partial_x \cdot \psi^j\rangle_{\Sigma M}\langle \psi^j, \partial_y \cdot \psi^m\rangle_{\Sigma M} - \langle \psi^i, \partial_y \cdot \psi^j\rangle_{\Sigma M}\langle \psi^j, \partial_x \cdot \psi^m\rangle_{\Sigma M} = 2i(a_2\bar{c}_2 b_1\bar{b}_1 - a_1\bar{c}_1 b_2\bar{b}_2)$$

and also

$$2\langle \psi^i, \partial_x \cdot \partial_y \cdot \psi^m\rangle_{\Sigma M}|\psi|^2_{\Sigma M} = 2i(-a_1\bar{c}_1 b_1\bar{b}_1 + a_2\bar{c}_2 b_1\bar{b}_1 - a_1\bar{c}_1 b_2\bar{b}_2 + a_2\bar{c}_2 b_2\bar{b}_2).$$

We require that

$$a_1\bar{c}_1 b_1\bar{b}_1 = a_2\bar{c}_2 b_2\bar{b}_2,$$

which is equivalent to

$$|P_-\psi^j|^2_{\Sigma M}\langle P_-\psi^i, P_-\psi^m\rangle_{\Sigma M} = |P_+\psi^j|^2_{\Sigma M}\langle P_+\psi^i, P_+\psi^m\rangle_{\Sigma M},$$

completing the proof. □

Corollary 3.19. *Let $\psi^i, \psi^j, \psi^m \in \Gamma(\Sigma M)$. If in addition*

$$|P_-\psi^j|^2_{\Sigma M}\langle P_-\psi^i, P_-\psi^m\rangle_{\Sigma M} = |P_+\psi^j|^2_{\Sigma M}\langle P_+\psi^i, P_+\psi^m\rangle_{\Sigma M} \tag{3.15}$$

holds, then (3.14) *simplifies to*

$$\langle\psi^i, \partial_x\cdot\psi^j\rangle_{\Sigma M}\langle\psi^j, \partial_y\cdot\psi^m\rangle_{\Sigma M} - \langle\psi^i, \partial_y\cdot\psi^j\rangle_{\Sigma M}\langle\psi^j, \partial_x\cdot\psi^m\rangle_{\Sigma M} = 2\langle\psi^i, \partial_x\cdot\partial_y\cdot\psi^m\rangle_{\Sigma M}|\psi|^2. \tag{3.16}$$

Lemma 3.20. *Let ψ be a smooth solution of* (3.12)*. Moreover, suppose that* (3.15) *holds. Then the Noether current* (3.13) *satisfies the following algebra*

$$\partial_x J^{im}_y - \partial_y J^{im}_x - \kappa(J^{ij}_x J^{jm}_y - J^{ij}_y J^{jm}_x) = 2\lambda\langle\psi^i, \partial_x\cdot\partial_y\cdot\psi^m\rangle_{\Sigma M}. \tag{3.17}$$

Proof. By a direct calculation we find

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_x J^{im}_y - \partial_y J^{im}_x =& \langle(\partial_x\cdot\nabla_{\partial_y} - \partial_y\cdot\nabla_{\partial_x})\psi^i, \psi^m\rangle + \langle\psi^i, (\partial_x\cdot\nabla_{\partial_y} - \partial_y\cdot\nabla_{\partial_x})\psi^m\rangle\\ =& 2\kappa|\psi|^2\langle\psi^i, \partial_x\cdot\partial_y\cdot\psi^m\rangle + 2\lambda\langle\psi^i, \partial_x\cdot\partial_y\cdot\psi^m\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

In addition, we find

$$J^{ij}_x J^{jm}_y - J^{ij}_y J^{jm}_x = \langle\psi^i, \partial_x\cdot\psi^j\rangle\langle\psi^j, \partial_y\cdot\psi^m\rangle - \langle\psi^i, \partial_y\cdot\psi^j\rangle\langle\psi^j, \partial_x\cdot\psi^m\rangle.$$

The claim then follows from the Fierz identity (3.16). □

Remark 3.21. It is obvious that the Noether algebra has the form of a zero-curvature condition for J when we are considering the massless Gross-Neveu-model, that is $\lambda = 0$.

Proposition 3.22. *Let ψ be a smooth solution of* (3.12)*. In addition, suppose that* (3.15) *holds. Then there exist functions B that satisfy*

$$\Delta B^{mi} = \kappa(B^{mj}_x B^{ji}_y - B^{mj}_y B^{ji}_x) + 2\lambda\langle\psi^i, \partial_x\cdot\partial_y\cdot\psi^m\rangle_{\Sigma M}. \tag{3.18}$$

Proof. Since the Noether current is divergence free, there exist functions B that satisfy

$$-B^{ij}_y = \langle\psi^i, \partial_x\cdot\psi^j\rangle, \qquad B^{ij}_x = \langle\psi^j, \partial_y\cdot\psi^j\rangle.$$

Thus, a direct calculation yields

$$\Delta B = \partial_x J_y - \partial_y J_x$$

and the result follows from (3.17). □

Remark 3.23. In the case that $\lambda = 0$ the Noether algebra (3.18) satisfies the equation for a CMC surface. However, B is not conformal, since

$$|B_x|^2 \neq |B_y|^2, \qquad \langle B_x, B_y\rangle \neq 0$$

without posing further assumptions.

We can again use the Noether current to establish some regularity result. However, the regularity of weak solutions of (3.12) is already well-understood, see [3, 23].

Remark 3.24. Let $\psi \in W^{1,\frac{4}{3}}(\Sigma M)$ be a distributional solution of (3.12) with $\lambda = 0$. Again, by application of the Wente Lemma we find that the map B is continuous since

$$\|B_x\|_{L^2} \leq C\|\psi\|^2_{L^4} \leq C\|\nabla\psi\|^2_{W^{1,\frac{4}{3}}},$$

where the last estimate follows from the Sobolev embedding in two-dimensions. However, we cannot use the statement on the regularity of B to gain regularity for ψ.

Remark 3.25. It is obvious that the algebra of the Noether current for harmonic maps two spheres and for the massless ($\lambda = 0$) Gross-Neveu model (3.17) is the same. This fact suggests that both models describe similar geometric and physical phenomena.
In physics this fact is often referred to as *bosonization*, which reflects the fact that a combination of two fermions behaves like a boson.
In geometric terms we have seen the relationship between harmonic maps to spheres and CMC surfaces in Remark 3.10. On the other hand, it is also well-known that the solutions of nonlinear

Dirac-equations of the form (3.12) with $\lambda = 0$ describe CMC surfaces from the universal covering of M in $\mathbb{R}^3$, see [14, 3]. More precisely, we have the following bijection

$$\{\text{Solutions of } \not{\partial}\psi = H|\psi|^2\psi\}/\pm 1 \leftrightarrow \{\text{Conformal periodic H-immersions } \tilde{M} \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \text{ with branching points of even order}\},$$

where $\tilde{M}$ denotes the universal covering of M.

4. Conservation laws for targets with Killing vector fields

In this section we discuss how to generalize the notion of the Noether current to target manifolds that possess Killing vector fields. The approach that we take here is different compared to the one that is usually taken in the physics literature. We derive the Noether current by assuming that the target manifold admits Killing vector fields, whereas in the physics literature the Noether current is obtained by considering symmetries acting on the fields that leave the action invariant.
Let ξ be a diffeomorphism that generates a one-parameter family of vector fields X. Then we know that

$$\frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0}\xi^* g = \mathcal{L}_X g.$$

This enables us to give the following

Definition 4.1. Let ξ be a diffeomorphism that generates a one-parameter family of vector fields X. Then we say that X generates a symmetry for the action $E_\kappa(\phi, \psi, \xi^* g)$ if

$$\frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0} E_\kappa(\phi, \psi, \xi^* g) = \int_M \mathcal{L}_X(|d\phi|^2 + \langle \psi, \not{D}\psi\rangle + \kappa\langle R^N(\psi, \psi)\psi, \psi\rangle) dM = 0,$$

where the Lie-derivative is acting on the metric g.

Note that if X generates an isometry then $\mathcal{L}_X g = 0$ such that we have to require the existence of Killing vector fields on the target.

Lemma 4.2. *Let (ϕ, ψ) be a smooth κ-Dirac-harmonic map to a target with Killing vector fields. Then the Lie-derivative acting on the metric g of the energy density is given by*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_X(|d\phi|^2 + \langle \psi, \not{D}\psi\rangle + \kappa\langle R^N(\psi, \psi)\psi, \psi\rangle) =& 2\nabla_{e_\alpha}\langle d\phi(e_\alpha), X(\phi)\rangle - \langle R^N(e_\alpha \cdot \psi, \psi)d\phi(e_\alpha), X(\phi)\rangle \\ &+ 2\kappa\langle \psi^i, \psi^k\rangle\langle \psi^j, \psi^l\rangle(R_{ijsl}\nabla_k X_s - R_{sjkl}\nabla_s X_i).\end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

Proof. We calculate (with x_α being local coordinates on M)

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_X|d\phi|^2 =& (\mathcal{L}_X g)_{ij}\frac{\partial\phi^i}{\partial x^\alpha}\frac{\partial\phi^j}{\partial x^\beta}h^{\alpha\beta} \\ =& 2\nabla_i X_j \frac{\partial\phi^i}{\partial x^\alpha}\frac{\partial\phi^j}{\partial x^\beta}h^{\alpha\beta} \\ =& 2\langle d\phi(e_\alpha), \nabla_{e_\alpha}(X(\phi))\rangle \\ =& 2\nabla_{e_\alpha}\langle d\phi(e_\alpha), X(\phi)\rangle - 2\langle \tau(\phi), X(\phi)\rangle \\ =& 2\nabla_{e_\alpha}\langle d\phi(e_\alpha), X(\phi)\rangle - \langle R^N(e_\alpha \cdot \psi, \psi)d\phi(e_\alpha), X(\phi)\rangle - \kappa\langle (\nabla_X R)(\psi, \psi)\psi, \psi\rangle,\end{aligned}$$

where we used that ϕ is a solution of (2.3) in the last step.
As a second step we calculate

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_X\langle \psi, \not{D}\psi\rangle =& \langle \psi^i, (\not{D}\psi)^j\rangle(\mathcal{L}_X g)_{ij} \\ =& \langle \psi^i, (\not{D}\psi)^j\rangle(\nabla_i X_j + \nabla_j X_i) \\ =& -2\kappa R_{abcj}\langle \psi^a, \psi^c\rangle\langle \psi^i, \psi^b\rangle\rangle(\nabla_i X_j + \nabla_j X_i),\end{aligned}$$

where we used that ψ is a solution of (2.4). Recall the formula for the Lie-derivative of the Riemann curvature tensor

$$\mathcal{L}_X R_{ijkl} = (\nabla_X R)_{ijkl} + R_{sjkl}\nabla_i X_s + R_{iskl}\nabla_j X_s + R_{ijsl}\nabla_k X_s + R_{ijks}\nabla_l X_s.$$

Consequently, we find

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathcal{L}_X R_{ijkl})\langle\psi^i,\psi^k\rangle\langle\psi^j,\psi^l\rangle =&(\nabla_X R_{ijkl})\langle\psi^i,\psi^k\rangle\langle\psi^j,\psi^l\rangle \\ &+ 2\langle\psi^i,\psi^k\rangle\langle\psi^j,\psi^l\rangle(R_{sjkl}\nabla_i X_s + R_{ijsl}\nabla_k X_s).\end{aligned}$$

Adding up the three contributions yields the result. □

Note that the first term on the right hand side of (4.1) already is in divergence form, which is what we need to obtain a conservation law. To rewrite the other terms on the right hand side of (4.1) we need the following

Lemma 4.3. *Let X be a Killing vector field on a Riemannian manifold (N, g), then the following formula holds*

$$-R^N(X,Y)Z = \nabla^2_{Y,Z}X. \tag{4.2}$$

Proof. A proof can be found in [22, p.242, Lemma 33]. □

From now on X will always denote a Killing vector field on N.
First, we will give a conservation law for Dirac-harmonic maps, that is for solutions of (2.3), (2.4) with $\kappa = 0$.

Theorem 4.4. *Let (ϕ, ψ) be a smooth Dirac-harmonic map to a target with Killing vector fields. Then the current defined by*

$$J_\alpha := 2\langle d\phi(e_\alpha), X(\phi)\rangle_{\phi^*TN} - \langle\nabla_\psi X(\phi), e_\alpha\cdot\psi\rangle_{\Sigma M\otimes\phi^*TN} \tag{4.3}$$

is conserved, that is $\nabla_{e_\alpha}J_\alpha = 0$. Here, the notation is to be understood as

$$\langle\nabla_\psi X(\phi), e_\alpha\cdot\psi\rangle := \langle\psi^i, e_\alpha\cdot\psi^j\rangle\nabla_{\partial_{y^i}}X_j,$$

where ∂_{y^i} is a local basis of TN.

Proof. By a direct calculation we find

$$\nabla_{e_\alpha}\langle d\phi(e_\alpha), X(\phi)\rangle = 2\langle\tau(\phi), X(\phi)\rangle + \underbrace{\langle d\phi(e_\alpha), \nabla_{d\phi(e_\alpha)}X(\phi)\rangle}_{=0} = \langle R^N(e_\alpha\cdot\psi,\psi)d\phi(e_\alpha), X(\phi)\rangle.$$

On the other hand we get

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_{e_\alpha}\langle\nabla_\psi X(\phi), e_\alpha\cdot\psi\rangle =&\langle\nabla^2_{d\phi(e_\alpha),\psi}X(\phi), e_\alpha\cdot\psi\rangle + \langle\nabla_\psi X(\phi), \underbrace{\not{D}\psi}_{=0}\rangle \\ =&\langle R^N(e_\alpha\cdot\psi,\psi)d\phi(e_\alpha), X(\phi)\rangle,\end{aligned}$$

where we applied (4.2) in the last step. The result then follows by combining the two equations. □

As for harmonic maps [24], we can use the conserved current J_α to study the regularity of weak Dirac-harmonic maps.

Proposition 4.5. *Suppose there exists a finite dimensional Lie group G which acts transitively on N by isometries. Then for a given weak Dirac-harmonic map we can deduce that ϕ is continuous.*

Proof. This follows directly as in [24, Theorem A]. □

Remark 4.6. Let (ϕ, ψ) be a smooth Dirac-harmonic map from a surface to a target with Killing vector fields. Then a direct calculation yields

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_{\partial_y} J_x - \nabla_{\partial_x} J_y =& 2\langle \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}, \nabla_{d\phi(\partial_y)} X\rangle - 2\langle \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y}, \nabla_{d\phi(\partial_x)} X\rangle \\ &+ \langle R^N(\partial_x \cdot \psi, \psi)\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y} - R^N(\partial_y \cdot \psi, \psi)\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}, X\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Due to the non-trivial curvature of the target manifold we cannot rewrite the right hand side of this equation in terms of the current J_α as we could do in the case of a spherical target.

Finally, we give a conservation law for solutions of (2.3), (2.4) in the case of $\kappa \neq 0$. It turns out, that we have to impose additional restrictions on the curvature of the target manifold.

Theorem 4.7. *Let (ϕ, ψ) be a smooth κ-Dirac-harmonic map to a target with Killing vector fields. Then the current defined by*

$$J_\alpha := 2\langle d\phi(e_\alpha), X(\phi)\rangle - \langle \nabla_\psi X(\phi), e_\alpha \cdot \psi\rangle \tag{4.4}$$

is conserved, if N has constant curvature K.

Proof. Performing a similar calculation as before, we find

$$\nabla_{e_\alpha} J_\alpha = -\kappa\langle (\nabla_X R^N)(\psi, \psi)\psi, \psi\rangle + 2\kappa\langle \nabla_\psi X(\phi), R^N(\psi, \psi)\psi\rangle.$$

In general, we cannot expect to rewrite the right hand side as a divergence term since the right hand side of (4.1) does not vanish for $\kappa \neq 0$. However, the first term on the right hand side vanishes by assumption. For the second term we rewrite

$$\begin{aligned}\kappa\langle \nabla_\psi X, R^N(\psi, \psi)\psi\rangle &= R_{ijkl}\nabla_s X_i \langle \psi^j, \psi^l\rangle\langle \psi^k, \psi^s\rangle \\ &= K\nabla_s X_i(\langle \psi^j, \psi^i\rangle\langle \psi^j, \psi^s\rangle - |\psi|^2\langle \psi^i, \psi^s\rangle) \\ &= 0,\end{aligned}$$

where we used the assumption that N has constant curvature K in the second step. The above expression vanishes due to the skew-symmetry of $\nabla_s X_i$. □

Remark 4.8. On a closed Riemannian surface we can always find a metric of constant curvature K due to the uniformization theorem. Consequently, the last Theorem always holds for smooth κ-Dirac-harmonic maps to a closed two-dimensional target.

Acknowledgements: The author gratefully acknowledges the support of the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) through the START-Project Y963-N35 of Michael Eichmair.

References

[1] Elcio Abdalla, M. Cristina B. Abdalla, and Klaus D. Rothe. *Non-perturbative methods in 2 dimensional quantum field theory*. World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ, second edition, 2001.

[2] Luis Alvarez-Gaumé and Daniel Z. Freedman. Geometrical structure and ultraviolet finiteness in the supersymmetric σ-model. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 80(3):443–451, 1981.

[3] Bernd Ammann. The smallest Dirac eigenvalue in a spin-conformal class and cmc immersions. *Comm. Anal. Geom.*, 17(3):429–479, 2009.

[4] Bernd Ammann and Nicolas Ginoux. Dirac-harmonic maps from index theory. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, 47(3-4):739–762, 2013.

[5] Christian Bär. Real Killing spinors and holonomy. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 154(3):509–521, 1993.

[6] Volker Branding. Magnetic Dirac-harmonic maps. *Anal. Math. Phys.*, 5(1):23–37, 2015.

[7] Volker Branding. Some aspects of Dirac-harmonic maps with curvature term. *Differential Geom. Appl.*, 40:1–13, 2015.

[8] Volker Branding. Dirac-harmonic maps with torsion. *Commun. Contemp. Math.*, 18(4):1550064, 19, 2016.

[9] Volker Branding. Nonlinear Dirac equations, monotonicity formulas and Liouville theorems. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1605.03453*, 2016.

[10] Q. Chen, J. Jost, and G. Wang. Liouville theorems for Dirac-harmonic maps. *J. Math. Phys.*, 48(11):113517, 13, 2007.

[11] Qun Chen, Jürgen Jost, Jiayu Li, and Guofang Wang. Regularity theorems and energy identities for Dirac-harmonic maps. *Math. Z.*, 251(1):61–84, 2005.

[12] Qun Chen, Jürgen Jost, Jiayu Li, and Guofang Wang. Dirac-harmonic maps. *Math. Z.*, 254(2):409–432, 2006.
[13] Qun Chen, Jürgen Jost, and Guofang Wang. Nonlinear Dirac equations on Riemann surfaces. *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.*, 33(3):253–270, 2008.
[14] Thomas Friedrich. On the spinor representation of surfaces in Euclidean 3-space. *J. Geom. Phys.*, 28(1-2):143–157, 1998.
[15] David J. Gross and André Neveu. Dynamical symmetry breaking in asymptotically free field theories. *Phys. Rev. D*, 10:3235–3253, Nov 1974.
[16] Frédéric Hélein. Régularité des applications faiblement harmoniques entre une surface et une sphère. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, 311(9):519–524, 1990.
[17] Frédéric Hélein. Regularity of weakly harmonic maps from a surface into a manifold with symmetries. *Manuscripta Math.*, 70(2):203–218, 1991.
[18] Frédéric Hélein and John C. Wood. Harmonic maps. In *Handbook of global analysis*, pages 417–491, 1213. Elsevier Sci. B. V., Amsterdam, 2008.
[19] Frédéric and Hélein. *Harmonic maps, conservation laws and moving frames*, volume 150 of *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, second edition, 2002. Translated from the 1996 French original, With a foreword by James Eells.
[20] Idrisse Khemar. Supersymmetric harmonic maps into symmetric spaces. *J. Geom. Phys.*, 57(8):1601–1630, 2007.
[21] H. Blaine Lawson, Jr. and Marie-Louise Michelsohn. *Spin geometry*, volume 38 of *Princeton Mathematical Series*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1989.
[22] Peter Petersen. *Riemannian geometry*, volume 171 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, New York, second edition, 2006.
[23] Changyou Wang. A remark on nonlinear Dirac equations. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 138(10):3753–3758, 2010.
[24] Changyou Wang and Deliang Xu. Regularity of Dirac-harmonic maps. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (20):3759–3792, 2009.
[25] Henry C. Wente. An existence theorem for surfaces of constant mean curvature. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 26:318–344, 1969.
[26] J. C. Wood. Harmonic maps into symmetric spaces and integrable systems. In *Harmonic maps and integrable systems*, Aspects Math., E23, pages 29–55. Friedr. Vieweg, Braunschweig, 1994.

University of Vienna, Faculty of Mathematics, Oskar-Morgenstern-Platz 1, 1090 Vienna, Austria,
E-mail address: volker.branding@univie.ac.at